1	John Meyer, MT Bar # 11206	
2	Cottonwood Environmental Law Center	
3	P.O. Box 412 Bozeman, MT 59771	
4	(406) 546-0149	
5	john@cottonwoodlaw.org	
	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
6	David A. Bricklin, WSBA No. 7583	
7	Zachary K. Griefen, WSBA No. 48608	
8	Bricklin & Newman, LLP 123 NW 36 th Street, Suite 205	
9	Seattle, WA 98107	
10	(206) 264-8600	
11	bricklin@bnd-law.com	
12	griefen@bnd-law.com Counsel for Plaintiffs	
13		TEC DISTRICT COLUDT
14		TES DISTRICT COURT ICT OF MONTANA
15		DIVISION
16	COTTONINGOD	
17	COTTONWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL LAW	
18	CENTER;	Case No. 2:20-cv-00028-BMM
19	MONTANA RIVERS; and	
	GALLATIN WILDLIFE ASSOCIATION,	
20	WILDLIFE ASSOCIATION,	PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED
21	Plaintiffs,	MOTION FOR STATUS
22		CONFERENCE
23	VS.	
24	BIG SKY WATER AND SEWER	
25	DISTRICT; BOYNE RESORTS,	
26	Defendants.	

Plaintiffs Cottonwood Environmental Law Center, Montana Rivers, and Gallatin Wildlife Association filed suit against Big Sky County Water & Sewer District No. 363 and Boyne USA, Inc., for their alleged discharge of treated wastewater into the West Fork of the Gallatin River without a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit. The district provides water and wastewater services for a resort community at Big Sky, Montana. The district treats and stores wastewater that is then used for irrigation on nearby properties in Big Sky, including a golf course owned by Boyne. The claims against the district were dismissed—some on summary judgment and others as the result of a jury verdict in favor of the district. The Ninth Circuit upheld those rulings on appeal in a decision issued on November 21, 2023, ECF 215, followed by a mandate issued on January 18, 2024, ECF 218. The Supreme Court has granted Cottonwood's application for extension to file a petition for certiorari. ECF 225.

The claims against Boyne remain to be litigated. They were dismissed by the district court on grounds that the plaintiff had failed to provide adequate pre-filing notice of the claim as required by the Clean Water Act, ECF 204, but the Ninth Circuit reversed that ruling and remanded for further proceedings, ECF 215; ECF 218.

No action has occurred in this district court litigation since the remand, other than the filing of an answer by Boyne, ECF 221, and pro hac vice admission of additional counsel, ECF 224.

1	Plaintiffs believe a status conference should be held to set the course for litigating		
2 3	the claims against Boyne. The parties have conferred. Boyne has no objection to this		
4	request for a status conference.		
5	Therefore, plaintiffs request that the Court set a date for a status conference. (The		
6 7	parties have conferred but have not reached agreement on plaintiffs' suggestion that the		
8	Court should require the parties to meet and confer in advance of the status conference		
9	regarding various discovery, disclosure and other matters as contemplated by		
10 11	Fed.R.Civ.P 26(f) and Local Rule 26.1.)		
12	Dated this 29 th day of April, 2024.		
13	Respectfully submitted,		
14 15	COTTONWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER		
16 17	By: s/John Meyer		
18	JOHN MEYER, MT Bar # 11206 Cottonwood Environmental Law Center		
19	P.O. Box 412 Bozeman, MT 59771 (406) 546-0149		
20	john@cottonwoodlaw.org Counsel for Plaintiffs		
21			
22 23	BRICKLIN & NEWMAN, LLP By: <u>s/David A. Bricklin</u>		
23 24	David A. Bricklin, WSBA No. 7583 Zachary K. Griefen, WSBA No. 48608		
25	123 NW 36th Street, Suite 205		
26	Seattle, WA 98107 bricklin@bnd-law.com griefen@bnd-law.com		
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document complies with Local Rule 7.1(d)(2). The Brief contains 340 words, excluding the caption, certificate of compliance, and certificate of service. The undersigned relied on the word count of the word-processing system used to prepare the document. s/David A. Bricklin